FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION RECORD / COVER SHEET

TO

Name Corey Chau	
Company or Firm United States Patent and Trademark	Office
Facsimile Tel. No. <u>1-703-746-9722</u>	
FROM	A A
PEARNE &GORDON LLP	
1801 East 9th Street CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700	
Name of Sender Bob Bodi	
COMMENTS	
Date July 26, 2004	Cleveland Time
For <u>2</u>	pages(s), (including this page)
Client	File No
This transmission	was sent from
(216) 579-6073	
If you have any problem with your reception please	
telephone us at (216) 579-1700 and ask for Bob Bodi	
The documents accompanying this facsimile transmiss & Gordon LLP, which may be confidential or privileged individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. copying, distribution or use of the contents of this transmission in error, please notify us by telephone of the original documents at no cost to you.	. The information is intended to be for the use of the If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure nitted information is prohibited. If you have received
Re: Application No.: 09/542,036	
This facsimile is to confirm our interview scheduled for Wednesday July 28, 2004. Attached is a copy of the Interview Issue to be Discussed.	

Interview Issue to be Discussed

The issue is whether the following equation 6) of the mean square value, found in Cezanne et al. at col. 6, line 5, where N is chosen to be as large as possible (see col. 7, lines 5-11):

$$\beta = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{n=N-1} c_B(n) c_F(n)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{n=N-1} c_B^{-2}(n)}$$

Is equivalent to the Claim 20 language of "forming a ratio of said output signals of said first and second microphone sub-arrangements, thereby generating a ratio result" where the definition of ratio is "a proportional relationship between two different numbers or quantities" or "a quotient of two numbers or expressions arrived at by dividing one by the other" (see http://encarta.msn.com/dictionary_/ratio.html).

Note that equation 6, above, in expanded form, is equivalent to:

$$\beta = \frac{\left[\frac{1}{N}\right] \cdot \left[c_{B}(0) \cdot c_{F}(0) + c_{B}(1) \cdot c_{F}(1) + c_{B}(2) \cdot c_{F}(2) + \dots + c_{B}(N-1) \cdot c_{F}(N-1)\right]}{\frac{1}{N} \cdot \left[c_{B}^{2}(0) + c_{B}^{2}(1) + c_{B}^{2}(2) + \dots + c_{B}^{2}(N-1)\right]}$$

Which is clearly NOT a ratio of said output signals of said first and second microphone sub-arrangements, as recited in the claim. The above equation from Cezanne is more properly described as a "ratio of a sum of the products of two signals divided by a sum of the signals squared over an interval [0, N]", which is generally the description given in col. 7, lines 14-27.